

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,741	07/22/2003	Isabelle Rollat	05725.0663-01	5256
22852	7590 08/31/2005		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			1615	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/623,741	ROLLAT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. [
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 June 2005.				
_	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 29-33 is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 29-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Appl	lication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
A m. 1					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) ∐ Interview Sum 8) Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

M

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment filed on 6/13/05. Claims 2-28 have been canceled as per applicant's amendment dated 6/13/05. Claims 1 and 29-33 are pending in the application and the status of the application is as follows:

Information Disclosure Statement

The co-pending applications have been considered, but they will not be listed for printing at the time of allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,968,494 ('494).

Hair reshapable is same as hair fixatives. See example 24 for the formulation; see col.8, line 54 for aerosol sprays, which is same as aerosol device. The formulations in example 24 have water and or solvent (ethanol). The polymer and the solvent form the dispersion. See example 28 for the claimed method.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/305 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the present specification, defined the phrase "reshapable hair styling composition" to mean "a hair styling composition providing hair styling that can be restored or modified without new material or heat being applied" at page 3, lines 7-9 and patent '494 neither teaches nor suggests a composition that has a reshapable effect.

In response to the above argument, applicants attention is drawn to example 28 for curl retention. Curl retention is drawn to hair styling where in the curls were retained. This satisfies the specification definition "where in hair styling is restored". Example 28, reads on the claimed method of reshapable hair styling compositions. Therfore the 102 rejection is deemed proper.

4. Claims 1 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,626,840 ('840).

See the abstract for polyurethane dispersion, see col.1, line 42, lines 50-55, see col.2, lines 5-8 for aerosol, see tables 3-6 for the method of use and see claims for polyurethane.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/305 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicants argue that the present claims recite a composition that "provides a reshapable effect" and patent '840 teaches away from a composition having a reshapable effect since the patent discloses that the composition has a "stiffness and resistance to combing at col. 14, lines 56-62 and thus, the composition of '840 provides resistance to restyling or reshaping the hair.
- 7. In response to the above argument, applicant attention is drawn to curl retention at col.19, lines 45 *et seq.*, and col.20, lines 1-9. Curl retention is drawn to hair styling where in the curls were retained. This satisfies the specification definition "where in hair styling is restored".

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, curl retention reads on the claimed method of reshapable hair styling compositions.

The 102 rejection is deemed proper

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1 and 29-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being antiicpated by U. S. Patent 6,495,119('119).

See the abstract for polyurethane, and aerosol device, see claim 31 for the method of use.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/305 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicants argue that patent '190 discloses a composition for fixing a hairstyle, and states, "fixing of the hairstyle is an important element of styling which can comprise maintaining the shape already given or in shaping the hair and fixing it simultaneously at col.1, lines 18-20 and the patent is silent as to a composition having a reshapable effect, or to reshaping or restyling a hairstyle after it has been fixed.
- 11. As admitted by applicants that the patent at col.1, lines 18-20 disclose composition for fixing a hairstyle which include "fixing of the hairstyle is an important element of styling which can comprise maintaining the shape already given". This is same as the definition in the specification that "a hair styling composition providing hair styling that can be restored".

Art Unit: 1615

Maintaining the shape using polyurethane is same as hair styling that can be restored using the same polyurethane. Therfore the 102 rejection is deemed proper.

Page 5

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN K. PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,741

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615
